1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

motion for summary judgment/adjudication, and expert designation dates, which shall be similarly continued.

This request is being made by all parties on the following grounds:

- The parties attended a case status conference on January 29, 2013, at which the Court offered possible trial dates in September and October, 2013.
- 2. Counsel for Defendants erroneously suggested September 16, 2013 for trial of this matter, when in fact counsel for Defendants already has another trial set on that date in the Los Angeles County Superior Court. The next open mutually agreeable date for the parties for trial of this matter is October 28, 2013.
- 4. The Parties have met and conferred and agree that a brief continuance of the trial date and all existing litigation dates, including the cut-off dates for percipient and expert discovery, is warranted under the circumstances. The parties agree that the existing trial date should be vacated and continued from September 16, 2013 to October 28, 2013, or as soon thereafter as is convenient for all parties and the Court.
- 5. This Stipulation for a trial continuance is based on good cause and is not intended to unnecessarily delay this matter.
 - 6. The parties have not previously requested a continuance in this matter.
 - 7. No party will be prejudiced by the brief requested continuance.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 30, 2013 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY **Lo** (/ By: Carmen A. **Brock** Attorney for Plaintiff THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Dated: January 30, 2013 Andrews · Lagasse · Branch & Bell Llp

> By: Traci S. Lagasse Joseph E. Pelochino Attorneys for Defendants SCI CONSULTING GROUP, GERARD VAN STEYN, and JOHN WILLIAM BLISS

ANDREWS · LAGASSE · BRANCH & BELL LLP

4365 Executive Drive, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92121

ORDER ON STIPHLATION

		ORDER ON STIT CLATION				
	Good	Good cause appearing, the trial date in this matter is hereby vacated and continued as				
	follows:					
	(i)	The Trial date of September 16, 2013 shall be advanced and continued to October				
28, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. a.m. in Department C-22;						
	(ii)	The Trial Readiness Conference date of September 13, 2013 shall be advanced				
and continued t		1 to at 9:00 a.m. in Department C-22;				
	(iii)	The Motion/Discovery Cut-Off Date shall be advanced and based, per Code, on				
the new trial date;						
	(iv)	The First Expert Exchange shall be advanced and based, per Code, on the new				
trial date; and						
	(v)	The Second Expert Exchange shall be advanced and based, per Code, on the new				
	trial date.					
IT IS SO ORDERED.						

Dated:	
	HON. SHEILA FELL
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

	1		PROOF OF SERVICE		
	2. 3	Case	Name: City of San Diego v. SCI Consulting Group, et al.		
		Case No.: 30-2012-00605504-CU-BC-CJC [Related with 30-2012-00606685-CU-NP-CJC]			
	4	Į į KCI2	ned with 30-2012-0000003-CO-1(1-C5C)		
	5	to the	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party within action. My business address is: Andrews · Lagasse · Branch & Bell LLP 4365		
	6	Executive Drive, Suite 950, San Diego, CA 92121. On January 30, 2013, I served the within documents:			
	7	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER THEREON TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND			
	8	ALL RELATED PRE-TRIAL DATES			
	9		by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.		
	10 11		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
LLLP S0	12	\boxtimes	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in United States mail in the State of California at San Diego, addressed		
; Bell ite 95 11	13		as set forth below.		
Branch &] Drive, Suit CA 92121	14	<u> </u>	by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, at a station designated for collection and processing of envelopes and packages for overnight delivery by Norco Overnite as part of the ordinary business practices of Andrews · Lagasse ·		
se, Bi ve Di go, C	15		Branch & Bell LLP described below, addressed as follows:		
Andrews, Lagasse, Branch & Bell LLP 4365 Executive Drive, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92121	16		by electronically mailing a true and correct copy through Andrews · Lagasse · Branch & Bell, LLP's electronic mail system to the e-mail address(s) set forth below, or as		
1365	17		stated on the below service list.		
And	18		Carmen A. Brock Office of the City Attorney		
	19		1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101		
	20		(619) 533-5800 / Fax: (619) 533-5856 Attorneys for Plaintiff, City of San Diego		
	21		I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence		
	22	22 I for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Servi			
	23	motio	with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on on of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
	24	IIICCCI			
	25	is true	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above and correct.		
	26	Executed on January 30, 2013, at San Diego, California.			
	27		MagdaLena Chavez		
	28		PROOF OF SERVICE		
	i	I	TROOP OF SERVICE		