## **Index of Exhibits**

Ex. A: Complaint

1		
		13 OCT 18 AH 10: 53
1 2	Louis A. Galuppo, Esq., SBN 143266 Steven W. Blake, Esq., SBN 235502 Kyle E. Yaege, Esq., SBN 246918	CLERA-12. LIMIN COURT SAN DIEGO COUMTY. CA
3	GALUPPO & BLAKE A Professional Law Corporation 2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102	
4	Carlsbad, California 92009	APT AGILT ON COM
5	Phone: (760) 431-4575 Fax: (760) 431-4579	0CT 18/13 an 3941
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVANGELISM	I, INC.
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEG	CO - CENTRAL DIVISION
10		
11	MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVANGELISM, INC., a California	) CASE NO.: 37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL
12	corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR:
13	Plaintiff,	) ) (1) Breach of Contract;
14	vs.	) (1) Breach of Contract; ) (2) Deceit ) (3) Negligent Misrepresentation;
15	WORLD RELIGIOUS RELIEF, Inc. (d.b.a.	) (4) Conversion ) (5) Common Counts
16 17	"WORD NETWORK"), a Michigan corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,	} } 
'' 18	inclusive,	) Judge: ) Filed:
19	Defendants.	Trial:
20		}
21	Plaintiff, MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVAN	NGELISM, INC. alleges as follows:
22	GENERAL A	<u>LLEGATIONS</u>
23	1. Plaintiff, MORRIS CERULLO	WORLD EVANGELISM, INC. ("MCWE" or
24	"Plaintiff") is, and at all times relevant to thi	s Complaint was, a California corporation, duly
25	organized and existing under and by virtue of	of the laws of the State of California and doing
26	business lawfully in San Diego County, Cali	fornia. Part of MCWE's business involves the
27	broadcast of religious programing over various	media outlets.
28		
- 1	**************************************	

///

///

- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant. WORD RELIGIOUS RELIEF, INC., d.b.a. "WORD NETWORK" ("WORD") is, and at all times relevant to this Complaint was, a Michigan corporation doing business lawfully in Southfield, Michigan. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that WORD's business involves broadcasting television programming prepared by third parties over a network of cable and satellite television providers.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that WORD has maintained and continues to maintain "minimum contacts" with the State of California which are sufficient for this Court to exercise jurisdiction over WORD under CCP § 410.10, by regularly soliciting business from and contracting with residents of the State of California, including Plaintiff, for the broadcast and/or receipt of programming over WORD's cable network.
- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the acts or omissions alleged in this complaint, and that Plaintiff's injuries and damages were proximately caused by the acts or omissions of these defendants.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times relevant to this Complaint, each of the Defendants herein were the employer, employee, contractor, principal, partner, agent, member, subsidiary, affiliate, joint venturer or alter ego of each of the other defendants, and at all times herein mentioned were acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, alter ego relationship or partnership, with the full authority and knowledge of each of the other defendants. Plaintiff further alleges that each of the defendants have adopted or ratified the acts, conduct, omissions or commissions of the other defendants set forth herein.

#### **VENUE**

6. Plaintiff certifies that San Diego County is the proper venue for filing this lawsuit, as Plaintiff has its principal place of business or residence within the County of San Diego, California. Moreover, the agreements that are the subject of this dispute were entered into and/or to be performed within the jurisdiction of the courts of San Diego County, State of California.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. In or about 2011, MCWE and WORD were involved in a contractual relationship wherein, MCWE broadcast pre-recorded programming over WORD's network in exchange for payment by MCWE to WORD.
- 8. In 2011, MCWE and WORD encountered a disagreement wherein WORD claimed that monies remained due for broadcasting MCWE's programming. ("2011 Dispute"). After exchanging numerous correspondence, including detailed correspondence that outlined justifications for MCWE's conclusion that no additional sum was owed, WORD failed to respond to this itemization of events.
- 9. Based on WORD'S silence MCWE believed the 2011 Dispute had been resolved or abandoned by WORD.
- 10. Beginning in the Spring of 2013, MCWE's agent THE CERULLO GROUP, INC. ("TCG"), began negotiating a new contract with WORD's agent and media broker Word Media, Inc. ("Media") for future television broadcasts.
- 11. At no time during these negotiations did WORD or Media claim that the 2011 Dispute was outstanding or otherwise unresolved. Instead, when TCG specifically asked Media about the standing of MCWE'S account, the general manager of Media reassured TCG that MCWE was in "good standing."
- 12. Based on this representation, Media and TCG actively negotiated MCWE's future purchases of airtime from WORD without precondition.
- 13. MCWE is now informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the negotiations in Spring 2013, and at the time of the execution of the resulting 2013 Broadcast Agreement, WORD did not intend to broadcast any additional programming that may be

- 14. On or about May 22, 2013, MCWE's agent TCG entered into a written contract with Media, calling for WORD to engage in one (1) year of broadcasts occurring five (5) times per week, at a cost of \$2,000 per broadcast. ("2013 Broadcast Agreement") The 2013 Broadcast Agreement required that MCWE prepay its broadcast fees fourteen (14) days in advance of the first program airing. A true and correct copy of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement between Media and MCWE's agent TCG is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 15. In furtherance of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement, MCWE paid \$17,000 to WORD through Media, and provided WORD with MCWE's "Victory Today" program strip for airing on the agreed scheduled starting in June 2013. Additionally MCWE invested thousands of dollars to advertise the upcoming program broadcast on WORD's network.
- that the \$17,000 prepayment would not be credited toward the 2013 Broadcast Agreement. Instead WORD indicated for the first time that it intended to apply the \$17,000 payment to the previously waived 2011 Dispute. As a result, WORK indicated that no programming would be aired unless MCWE paid the full amount of the 2011 Dispute balance as well as the \$17,000 advance required by the 2013 Broadcast Agreement.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto WORD knew that MCWE's raises funds for its operations through donations solicited on its television programming and that donations from prior campaigns on WORD's network totaling in the multiple hundreds of thousands of dollars. WORD was also aware that the processing of donations through television programming requires that MCWE incur expenses to market upcoming airings, and to staff and operate call centers during the airing of its television programs.

27 ///

28 | ///

- 18. On or about June 17, 2013, WORD was scheduled to begin broadcasting the MCWE programming. WORD did not broadcast the "Victory Today" program on June 17, 2013 as agreed.
- 19. MCWE has demanded that WORD resume the agreed broadcasting schedule without offset against the 2011 Dispute.
- 20. As a direct result of WORD's conduct MCWE has been damaged in an amount subject to proof at trial but in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this Court.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Breach of Contract)

### (Against WORD, and DOES 1 to 25)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
- 22. On or about May 22, 2013, MCWE's agent TCG entered into a written contract with WORD, calling for one (1) year of broadcasts occurring five (5) times per week on WORD's network, at a cost of \$2,000 per broadcast. ("2013 Broadcast Agreement") The 2013 Broadcast Agreement required that MCWE prepay its broadcast fees fourteen (14) days in advance of the first program airing. A true and correct copy of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement between WORD and MCWE's agent TCG is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 23. In furtherance of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement, MCWE paid \$17,000 to WORD, and provided WORD with MCWE's "Victory Today" program strip for airing on the agreed scheduled starting in June 2013. Additionally MCWE invested thousands of dollars to advertise the upcoming program broadcast on WORD's network.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto WORD knew that MCWE's raises funds for its operations through donations solicited on its television programming and that donations from prior campaigns on WORD's network totaling in the multiple hundreds of thousands of dollars. WORD was also aware that the processing of donations through television programming requires that MCWE incur expenses to

market upcoming airings, and to staff and operate call centers during the airing of its television programs.

- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that WORD breached the 2013 Broadcast Agreement by failing to broadcast the "Victory Today" programming on the agreed date and time specified in the 2013 Broadcast Agreement.
- 26. Plaintiff has performed all of its obligations under the 2013 Broadcast Agreement, except for those obligations from which it was excused, if any.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of WORD'S breach of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this Court according to proof at trial, plus interest on that amount at the maximum legal rate, calculated from the date the payments were due, plus attorney's fees and costs.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Deceit)

## (Against WORD and DOES 1 to 25)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
- 29. On or about May 22, 2013, MCWE's agent TCG entered into a written contract with WORD, calling for one (1) year of broadcasts occurring five (5) times per week on WORD's network, at a cost of \$2,000 per broadcast. ("2013 Broadcast Agreement") The 2013 Broadcast Agreement required that MCWE prepay its broadcast fees fourteen (14) days in advance of the first program airing. A true and correct copy of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement between WORD and MCWE's agent TCG is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 30. WORD'S agreement to broadcast MCWE's programming was material to the transaction.
- 31. MCWE is now informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the negotiations in Spring 2013, and at the time of the execution of the resulting 2013 Broadcast Agreement, WORD did not intend to broadcast additional programming for MCWE, and instead

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

///

intended to use the false promise of future broadcasts as a means to obtain additional monies from MCWE.

- WORD intended for MCWE to rely on its promise of future broadcasts. 32.
- MCWE reasonably relied on the promises of WORD to broadcast MCWE's 33. programming on the agreed schedule in exchange for the agreed fee. MCWE's reliance on the promises of WORD to broadcast MCWE's programming on the agreed schedule was a substantial factor in causing MCWE harm, as alleged herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that WORD failed to 34. broadcast MCWE's programming on the agreed date and time.
- As a direct result of the conduct of WORD, MCWE has been damaged in an 35. amount subject to proof at trial but in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this Court.
- In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted intentionally, maliciously, 36. oppressively as those terms are defined in California Code of Civil Procedure § 3294, and in a manner designed to injure Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Misrepresentation)

## (Against WORD and DOES 1 to 25)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35, inclusive, of this 37. Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that by entering into 38. the 2013 Broadcast Agreement, WORD made the representation that WORD would broadcast MCWE's programming in exchange for advanced payment of \$17,000, it would do so. Further, WORD implicitly represented that it would only apply payments from MCWE toward these future broadcasts unless it obtained the express consent of Plaintiff to do otherwise.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at the time that 39. WORD made these representations to Plaintiff they were false.

- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that when WORD made these representations, they each knew them to be false and made them with the intention to deceive and defraud Plaintiff, to induce Plaintiff to act in reliance on these representations in the manner hereafter alleged, and with the expectation that Plaintiff would so act.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it reasonably relied on the representations of WORD and pre-paid \$17,000.00 at the inception of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement for future broadcasts. If Plaintiff had known that the representations of WORD were false, Plaintiff would not have taken those actions.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the fraudulent acts of WORD referenced herein, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this Court, according to proof at trial, plus interest on that amount at the maximum legal rate, calculated from the date the payments were due, plus attorney's fees and costs.
- 43. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted intentionally, maliciously, oppressively as those terms are defined in California Code of Civil Procedure § 3294, and in a manner designed to injure Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

## (Against WORD and DOES 1 to 25)

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive, of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
- 45. In furtherance of the 2013 Broadcast Agreement and with the expectation that WORD would broadcast its programming on the agreed schedule, MCWE paid \$17,000 to WORD, and provided WORD with MCWE's "Victory Today" program strip for airing on the agreed scheduled starting in June 2013.
- 46. WORD intentionally and substantially interfered with MCWE's property, specifically MCWE's \$17,000 by taking possession of the \$17,000 and refusing to return the \$17,000 while refusing to perform the agreed broadcast of MCWE's programming.

- 41	
1	47. MCWE did not and does not consent to WORD's withholding of the \$17,000
2	while failing to broadcast the agreed programming.
3	48. As a result of WORD's conversion of MCWE's personal property, MCWE has
4	been harmed in an amount subject to proof at trial, and WORD's conduct was a substantial factor
5	in causing MCWE's harm.
6	49. The acts described above constitute "malice, oppression, or fraud" as those terms
7	are defined in California Code of Civil Procedure § 3294. Accordingly, MCWE prays for the
8	award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter WORD from engaging in similar
9	conduct in the future, subject to proof at trial.
0	<u>FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u>
1	(Common Counts / Money Had and Received)
2	(Against WORD and DOES 1 to 25)
13	50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 49, inclusive, of this
14	Complaint, as fully set forth herein.
15	51. WORD has received \$17,000 from MCWE that was intended as a prepayment to
16	be used for the benefit of MCWE, specifically for the purpose of procuring the broadcast of
17	certain programming on WORD's television network on a specified schedule.
18	52. The money, paid by MCWE was not used for the benefit of MCWE where the
19	programming has not been broadcast by WORD as agreed.
20	53. Despite demands by MCWE for the broadcast of its programming and return of
21	its \$17,000, WORD has not broadcast the agreed programming or returned the monies paid by
22	MCWE to obtain that broadcast.
23	54. As a result of WORD's conversion of MCWE's personal property, MCWE has
24	been harmed in an amount subject to proof at trial.
25	
26	
27	
28	

PRAYER 1 WHEREFORE. Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as 2 3 follows: For money damages sufficient to compensate Plaintiff for the harm resulting from 4 A. the Defendant's conduct, with the exact amount, plus interest thereon, to be 5 proven at trial; 6 7 B. For punitive damages; For prejudgment interest at the legal rate according to proof; 8 C. For interest at the rate of ten percent per annum on all amounts due; 9 D. For reasonable attorney's fees where permitted by agreement or statute or both; E. 10 For all costs of suit herein incurred; and F. 11 For any other further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 12 G. 13 Dated: October 17, 2013 **GALUPPO & BLAKE** 14 A Professional Law Cornoration 15 16 STEVEN W. BLAKE, ESQ. 17 KYLE E. YAEGE, ESQ. 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff MORRIS CERULLO WORLD 19 EVANGELISM, INC. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT "1"

## CONTRACT

The WORD Network 20733 W Ten Mile Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 357-4566

www.thewordnetwork.org

And:

**Word Media** P.O. Box 13220 Arlington, TX 76094 USA

	Contract / Rev	rision	Alt Order #	
	1625	1		
Product				
Contract Dates 06/17/13 - 06/13/14	Estimate #			
Advertiser Morris Cerullo			Original Date 05/20/13	/ Revision / 05/20/13
MOTIS SELECT	Billing Cycle EOM/EOC Station WORD Special Hand Demographic Households	Broade Accou David ling	Calendar cast nt Executive Sheffield	Cash/Trade Cesh Sales Office Local
	IDB#	Adver	tiser Code	Product Code
	Agency Ref		Advertise	er Kei

Spots/

**Totals** 

		t - Dandustine	_	Start/End Time	Days	Lenath	Week	Rate	Type	Spots	Amount
		te Description	1		ww.j.v	28:30			NM	260	\$520,000.00
N 1 WORD06/17/				5p-530p Rate		20.00			1		
Start Date	End Date	<u>Weekdays</u> 11111	Spots/Week	\$2,000.00							
Week: 06/17/13	06/23/13	11111	5 .	\$2,000.00							,
Week: 06/24/13	08/30/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 07/01/13	07/07/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 07/08/13	07/14/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 07/15/13	07/21/13 07/28/13	11111	5	\$2.000.00		•					
Week: 07/22/13	08/04/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 07/29/13	08/11/13	22222	5	\$2,000.00							*
Week: 08/05/13	08/18/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 08/12/13	08/25/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00			* .				* **
Week: 08/19/13 Week: 08/26/13	09/01/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						I	
Week: 09/02/13	09/08/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 09/09/13	09/15/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 09/16/13	09/22/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 09/23/13	09/29/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00				100			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Week: 09/30/13	10/06/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 10/07/13	10/13/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							a, are pro-
Week: 10/14/13	10/20/13	11111	5.	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 10/21/13	10/27/13	11111	5	\$2,000,00							
Week: 10/28/13	11/03/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						l	
Week: 11/04/13	11/10/13	11111	. 5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 11/11/13	11/17/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 11/18/13	11/24/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 11/25/13	12/01/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00				* .	1.7	1	
Week: 12/02/13	12/08/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 12/09/13	12/15/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 12/16/13	12/22/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						i	
Week: 12/23/13	12/29/13	11111	5	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 12/30/13	01/05/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 01/06/14	01/12/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
Week: 01/13/14	01/19/14	11111	5 <b>5</b>	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 01/20/14	01/26/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00						1	
Week: 01/27/14	02/02/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00							
***************************************				* * *						100	

(\* Line Transactions: N = New, E = Edited, D = Delated)

Notwittelanding to whom bills are rendered, advertiser, agency and service, jointly and servicely, shall remain obligated to pay to station the amount of any bills rendered by station within the time specified and until payment in full is received by station. Station will not be bound by conditions, privided or payment in full is received by station. Payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by agency to service, shall not constitute payment to station. Station will not be bound by conditions, privided or payment in full is received by station. Payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by agency to service, shall not constitute payment to station. Station will not be bound by conditions, payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by agency to service, shall not constitute payment to station. Station will not be bound by conditions, payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by agency to service, shall not constitute payment to station. Station will not be bound by conditions, payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by agency to service, shall not constitute payment to station. Station will not be bound by conditions. Station or the service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by advertiser to agency or to service or payment by adverti

Contract Agreement Between:

The WORD Network **20733 W Ten Mile** Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 357-4566

www.linewordnetwork.org

	Contract / Revision 1625 /	Alt Order #
Contract Dates 06/17/13 - 06/13/14	Product	Estimate #
Advertiser Morris Cerullo	Q	riginal Date / Revision 05/20/13 / 05/20/13

					. *	Spots/		Tol	als
Line Ch Start (	Date End Da	ate Description	1	Start/End Time	Days	Length Week	Rate	Type Spots	Amount
Start Date	End Date	Weekdays	Spots/Week	Rete					
Week: 02/03/14	02/09/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 02/10/14	02/16/14	11111	5	<b>\$2,000</b> ,00					
Week: 02/17/14	02/23/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 02/24/14	03/02/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 03/03/14	03/09/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					•
Week: 03/10/14	03/16/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 03/17/14	03/23/14	11111	. 5	\$2,000.00				I .	
Week: 03/24/14	03/30/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00				<b>1</b> .	
Week: 03/31/14	04/06/14	11111	. 5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 04/07/14	04/13/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00				1	
Week: 04/14/14	04/20/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 04/21/14	04/27/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 04/28/14	05/04/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00				Ī	
Week: 05/05/14	05/11/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 05/12/14	05/18/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
Week: 05/19/14	05/25/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00	•				
Week: 05/26/14	06/01/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00		And the second s	100	4	And Advanced
Week: 06/02/14	06/08/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					:
Week: 06/09/14	06/15/14	11111	5	\$2,000.00					
						То	tais	260	\$520,000.0

Time Period	# of Spots	Gross Amount	MSt Willouist	
05/27/13 -06/30/13	10	\$20,000.00	\$17,000.00	
07/01/13 -07/28/13	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
07/29/13 -08/25/13	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
08/26/13 -09/29/13	25	\$50,000.00	\$42,500.00	
09/30/13 -10/27/13	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
10/28/13 -11/24/13	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
11/25/13 -12/29/13	25	\$50,000.00	\$42,500.00	
12/30/13 -01/26/14	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
01/27/14 -02/23/14	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
02/24/14 -03/30/14	25	\$50,000.00	\$42,500.00	
03/31/14 -04/27/14	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
04/28/14 -05/25/14	20	\$40,000.00	\$34,000.00	
05/26/14 -06/13/14	15	\$30,000.00	\$25,500.00	
		2500 00000	\$442.000.00	

**Totals** 

124/13

## INVOICE

WOR

The WORD Network 20733 W Ten Mile Southfield, MI 48075 Main: (248) 357-4566

Billing: (248) 226-7379

www.thewordnetwork.org

Billing Address:

**Word Media Attention: Accounts Payable** P.O. Box 13220 Arlington, TX 76094 USA

Send Payment To:

The WORD Network 20733 W Ten Mile Southfield, MI 48075

{ in	voice # 6-5615	Mont June 2013			<u>2e Period</u> 1/13 - 08/30/13	
Station WORD	Account Execution  David Sheffield	ve	Sales Offi Local	ÇB	Sales Region Local	
Advertiser Morris Cerulio	Proc	luct	***	Estim	ate Number	
	Flight Dates 06/17/13 - 0	•	Order# 1625		Alt Order#	
	Billing Caler Broadcast		Billing Type Cash			
	Special Har	<u>idling</u>				
	IDB#		Advertiser	Code	Product Code	
	Agency Rel		Advertiser	Ref		

Invoice Month

Invoice Period

			julija i konst	. ;	Spots/			and the second s
Line	Start Date End Date Description	Start/End Time	MTWTFSS	Length	Week	Rate	Туре	
1	06/17/13 06/13/14 5p-530p Mond	lay-Friday 5p-530p	11111	28:30	5	\$2,000.00	NM .	
	06/17/13 06/23/13 11 Spots: # Ch Day Air Date Air Tim 1 WORDM 06/17/13 2 WORDTu 06/18/13 3 WORDW 06/19/13 4 WORDTh 06/20/13 5 WORDF 06/21/13 Weeks: Start Date End Date M 06/24/13 06/30/13 1:	5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday rwrFSS Spots/Week 1111 5	Rate \$2,000.00 <u>Start/End Time</u> 5p-530p 5p-530p 5p-530p 5p-530p <u>Rate</u> \$2,000.00 <u>Start/End Time</u>	28:3 28:3 28:3 28:3 28:3	0 0 0			Rate Type \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM
	Spots: # Ch	5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday 5p-530p Monday-Friday	5p-530p 5p-530p 5p-530p 5p-530p 5p-530p	28:3 28:3 28:3 28:3 28:3	30 30 30 30			\$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM \$2,000.00 NM

\$20,000.00 **Gross Total** 10 Total Spots

**Payment Terms: Cash in Advance** 

Agency Commission

\$3,000.00

Net Amount Due

\$17,000.00

SUM-100

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

World Religious Relief, Inc. (d.b.a. "Word Network"), a Michigan corporation; and DOES 1 through 25

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Morris Cerullo World Evangelism, Inc., a California corporation

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

OCT 18 2013

By: S.Goodrich, Deputy

OCT 18 13 an 3 41

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfinelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. IAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito flene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioleca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más carca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamer a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin tines de lucro en el sitio web de Celifornia Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

	ınd address ol				
(El nombre	y dirección de	la corte es):	San Diego	Superior	Court

DOT 9 1 2012

CASE NUMBER: o del Gaso):

A Goodrich

37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTI

330 W. Broadway

San Diego, CA 92101

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Kyle E. Yaege, Galuppo & Blake, 2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102, Carlsbad, CA 92009, 760-431-4575

DATE: (Fecha)			2013	Clerk, by (Secretario)		Goodrich	, Deputy ( <i>Adjunto</i> )
(For proof of (Para pruet)	f service of a de entreg	this a de	esta citatión e	Proof of Service of Summons (form POS- te el formulario Proof of Service of Summo TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are serv is an individual defendant.	ons, <i>(POS-01</i>	(O)).	
			2.	is an individual defendant.  Is the person sued under the fictitious name  on behalf of (specify):	ne of (specify	<b>)</b> :	
			unde			CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conserva CCP 416.90 (authorize	-
	······			other (specify):  by personal delivery on (date):			

Page 1 of 1

<b>*</b>	4.	CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Steven W. Blake, Esq., SBN 235502; Kyle	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Steven W. Blake, Esq., SBN 235502; Kyle Galuppo & Blake, A Professional Law Con 2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102	E. Yaege, Esq., SBN 246918 poration	13 OCT 18 AM 10: 53
Carisbad, CA 92009	FAX NO.: 760-431-4579	TSU0.1 Section 1.00
TELEPHONE NO. 760-431-4575 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Morris Cerullo Worl	d Evangelism, Inc.	SAN DIEGO COURTY. CA
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S		SAN DICAGO
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway		·
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway	\•	·
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 9210 BRANCH NAME: Central Division		
CASE NAME:		0CT1813.88 1941
Morris Cerullo World Evangelism,	Inc. v. World Religious Relief, Inc.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	nt JUDGE:
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000 \$25,000 or less)		DEPT:
Items 1–6 be	low must be completed (see instructions on	page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type th	at best describes this case:	to the state of the Company Clark I Minchigan
Auto Tort	Contract Pr	ovisionally Complex Civil Litigation al. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other Pl/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/inverse Condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (	Olher real property (26)	nforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	<u>Unla</u> wful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		(Iscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38) Judicial Review N	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)  Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfellure (05)	liscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
<ol> <li>This case  is   is not confactors requiring exceptional judicial ma</li> </ol>	mplex under rule 3.400 of the California Rul	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
		of witnesses
a. Large number of separately rep b. Extensive motion practice raisit		vith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consum	ing to resolve in other counti	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of docume		stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):	a monetary b. nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
5 This case is V is not at	dass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, fi	e and serve a notice of related case. (You n	nay use form CM-018.)
Date: October 17, 2013	North Control of the	
Kyle E. Yaege		GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	
under the Probate Code, Family Code,		g (except small claims cases or cases filed es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any tell this case is complex under rule 3.400 at the parties to the action or proceeding.	et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under	rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical purposes only.

#### Case 3:13-cv-02780-BTM-BLM Document 1-1 Filed 11/22/13 Page 19 of 25

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

STREET ADDRESS:

330 W Broadway

MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway

CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827

BRANCH NAME:

Central

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7075

PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S):

Morris Cerullo World Evangelism Inc

DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): World Religious Relief Inc.

MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVANGELISM INC VS. WORLD RELIGIOUS RELIEF INC

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT and CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NUMBER:

37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Judge: Richard E. L. Strauss

Department: C-75

**COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 10/18/2013** 

TYPE OF HEARING SCHEDULED

DATE

TIME

DEPT

JUDGE

Civil Case Management Conference

05/16/2014

10:30 am

C-75

Richard E. L. Strauss

A case management statement must be completed by counsel for all parties or self-represented litigants and timely filed with the court at least 15 days prior to the initial case management conference. (San Diego Local Rules, Division II, CRC Rule 3.725).

All counsel of record or parties in pro per shall appear at the Case Management Conference, be familiar with the case, and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the hearing, including discussions of ADR\* options.

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2.1.5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, parking citation appeals, and family law proceedings.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants, and a Certificate of Service (SDSC form #CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)
- JURY FEES: In order to preserve the right to a jury trial, each party demanding a jury trial shall pay an advance jury fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) for each party on or before the date scheduled for the initial case management conference in the action.

\*ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).

## Superior Court of California County of San Diego

# NOTICE OF ELIGIBILITY TO eFILE AND ASSIGNMENT TO IMAGING DEPARTMENT

This case is eligible for eFiling. Should you prefer to electronically file documents, refer to General Order 010313 at www.sdcourt.ca.gov for rules and procedures or contact the Court's eFiling vendor at www.onelegal.com for information.

This case has been assigned to an Imaging Department and original documents attached to pleadings filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed. Original documents should not be filed with pleadings. If necessary, they should be lodged with the court under California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

On August 1, 2011 the San Diego Superior Court began the Electronic Filing and Imaging Pilot Program ("Program"). As of August 1, 2011 in all new cases assigned to an Imaging Department all filings will be imaged electronically and the electronic version of the document will be the official court file. The official court file will be electronic and accessible at one of the kiosks located in the Civil Business Office and on the Internet through the court's website.

You should be aware that the electronic copy of the filed document(s) will be the official court record pursuant to Government Code section 68150. The paper filing will be imaged and held for 30 days. After that time it will be destroyed and recycled. Thus, you should not attach any original documents to pleadings filed with the San Diego Superior Court. Original documents filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed except those documents specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.1806. Any original documents necessary for a motion hearing or trial shall be lodged in advance of the hearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

It is the duty of each plaintiff, cross-complainant or petitioner to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint, cross-complaint or petition on all parties in the action.

On all pleadings filed after the initial case originating filing, all parties must, to the extent it is feasible to do so, place the words "IMAGED FILE" in all caps immediately under the title of the pleading on all subsequent pleadings filed in the action.

# Please refer to the General Order - Imaging located on the San Diego Superior Court website at:

http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/CivillmagingGeneralOrder



### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

## ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION

CASE NUMBER: 37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL CASE TITLE: Morris Cerullo World Evangelism Inc vs. World

Religious Relief Inc

NOTICE: All plaintiffs/cross-complainants in a general civil case are required to serve a copy of the following three forms on each defendant/cross-defendant, together with the complaint/cross-complaint:

(1) this Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information form (SDSC form #CIV-730),

(2) the Stipulation to Use Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) form (SDSC form #CIV-359), and

(3) the Notice of Case Assignment form (SDSC form #CIV-721).

Most civil disputes are resolved without filing a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts, community organizations, and private providers offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. The San Diego Superior Court expects that litigants will utilize some form of ADR as a mechanism for case settlement before trial, and it may be beneficial to do this early in the case.

Below is some information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR, the most common types of ADR, and how to find a local ADR program or neutral. A form for agreeing to use ADR is attached (SDSC form #CIV-359).

Potential Advantages and Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may have a variety of advantages or disadvantages over a trial, depending on the type of ADR process used and the particular case:

#### **Potential Advantages**

- Saves time
- Saves money
- Gives parties more control over the dispute resolution process and outcome
- · Preserves or improves relationships

#### **Potential Disadvantages**

- May take more time and money if ADR does not resolve the dispute
- Procedures to learn about the other side's case (discovery), jury trial, appeal, and other court protections may be limited or unavailable

**Most Common Types of ADR** 

You can read more information about these ADR processes and watch videos that demonstrate them on the court's ADR webpage at http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr.

Mediation: A neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties communicate in an effective and constructive manner so they can try to settle their dispute. The mediator does not decide the outcome, but helps the parties to do so. Mediation is usually confidential, and may be particularly useful when parties want or need to have an ongoing relationship, such as in disputes between family members, neighbors, co-workers, or business partners, or when parties want to discuss non-legal concerns or creative resolutions that could not be ordered at a trial.

Settlement Conference: A judge or another neutral person called a "settlement officer" helps the parties to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their case and to discuss settlement. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but helps the parties to negotiate a settlement. Settlement conferences may be particularly helpful when the parties have very different ideas about the likely outcome of a trial and would like an experienced neutral to help guide them toward a resolution.

Arbitration: A neutral person called an "arbitrator" considers arguments and evidence presented by each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are usually relaxed. If the parties agree to binding arbitration, they waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. With nonbinding arbitration, any party may reject the arbitrator's decision and request a trial. Arbitration may be appropriate when the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial.

Other ADR Processes: There are several other types of ADR which are not offered through the court but which may be obtained privately, including neutral evaluation, conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR processes. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute. Be sure to learn about the rules of any ADR program and the qualifications of any neutral you are considering, and about their fees.

#### **Local ADR Programs for Civil Cases**

**Mediation:** The San Diego Superior Court maintains a Civil Mediation Panel of approved mediators who have met certain minimum qualifications and have agreed to charge \$150 per hour for each of the first two (2) hours of mediation and their regular hourly rate thereafter in court-referred mediations.

On-line mediator search and selection: Go to the court's ADR webpage at <a href="www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr">www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr</a> and click on the "Mediator Search" to review individual mediator profiles containing detailed information about each mediator including their dispute resolution training, relevant experience, ADR specialty, education and employment history, mediation style, and fees and to submit an on-line Mediator Selection Form (SDSC form #CIV-005). The Civil Mediation Panel List, the Available Mediator List, individual Mediator Profiles, and Mediator Selection Form (CIV-005) can also be printed from the court's ADR webpage and are available at the Mediation Program Office or Civil Business Office at each court location.

Settlement Conference: The judge may order your case to a mandatory settlement conference, or voluntary settlement conferences may be requested from the court if the parties certify that: (1) settlement negotiations between the parties have been pursued, demands and offers have been tendered in good faith, and resolution has failed; (2) a judicially supervised settlement conference presents a substantial opportunity for settlement; and (3) the case has developed to a point where all parties are legally and factually prepared to present the issues for settlement consideration and further discovery for settlement purposes is not required. Refer to SDSC Local Rule 2.2.1 for more information. To schedule a settlement conference, contact the department to which your case is assigned.

Arbitration: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. Refer to SDSC Local Rules <u>Division II, Chapter III</u> and Code Civ. Proc. § 1141.10 et seq or contact the Arbitration Program Office at (619) 450-7300 for more information.

More information about court-connected ADR: Visit the court's ADR webpage at <a href="https://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr">www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr</a> or contact the court's Mediation/Arbitration Office at (619) 450-7300.

**Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) funded ADR Programs:** The following community dispute resolution programs are funded under DRPA (Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 465 et seq.):

- In Central, East, and South San Diego County, contact the National Conflict Resolution Center (NCRC) at www.ncrconline.com or (619) 238-2400.
- In North San Diego County, contact North County Lifeline, Inc. at www.nclifeline.org or (760) 726-4900.

**Private ADR:** To find a private ADR program or neutral, search the Internet, your local telephone or business directory, or legal newspaper for dispute resolution, mediation, settlement, or arbitration services.

#### Legal Representation and Advice

To participate effectively in ADR, it is generally important to understand your legal rights and responsibilities and the likely outcomes if you went to trial. ADR neutrals are not allowed to represent or to give legal advice to the participants in the ADR process. If you do not already have an attorney, the California State Bar or your local County Bar Association can assist you in finding an attorney. Information about obtaining free and low cost legal assistance is also available on the California courts website at <a href="https://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/lowcost">www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/lowcost</a>.

## Case 3:13-cv-02780-BTM-BLM Document 1-1 Filed 11/22/13 Page 23 of 25

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN	I DIEGO	FOR COURT USE ONLY					
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway							
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	•						
CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827							
BRANCH NAME: Central							
PLAINTIFF(S): Morris Cerullo World Evangelism Inc							
DEFENDANT(S): World Religious Relief Inc DBA Word Ne							
SHORT TITLE: MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVANGEL RELIEF INC	GIOUS						
STIPULATION TO USE ALT DISPUTE RESOLUTION		CASE NUMBER: 37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL					
Judge: Richard E. L. Strauss		Department: C-75					
The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the mat alternative dispute resolution (ADR) process. Select	ter is at issue and the cla ion of any of these option	ims in this action shall be submitted to the following is will not delay any case management timelines.					
Mediation (court-connected)	Non-binding priva	ate arbitration					
Mediation (private)	Binding private a	rbitration					
Voluntary settlement conference (private)	Non-binding judic	cial arbitration (discovery until 15 days before trial)					
Neutral evaluation (private)	Non-binding judic	cial arbitration (discovery until 30 days before trial)					
Other (specify e.g., private mini-trial, private judge	, etc.):						
	-						
It is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitrator, mediator or other neutral: (Name)							
Alternate neutral (for court Civil Mediation Program and art	bitration only):						
Date:	Da	tle:					
Name of Plaintiff	Na	ime of Defendant					
Name of Flamin	· ·	ine of periodit					
Signature	Się	gnature					
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney	Na	ame of Defendant's Attorney					
Signature	Się	gnature					
If there are more parties and/or attorneys, please attach additional completed and fully executed sheets.							
It is the duty of the parties to notify the court of any settlement pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1385. Upon notification of the settlement, the court will place this matter on a 45-day dismissal calendar.							
No new parties may be added without leave of court.							
IT IS SO ORDERED.							
Dated: 10/21/2013		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					

Cas	<u>e 3:13-cv-02/80-BTM-BLM Doc</u>	<u>cument 1-1 Filed</u>	<u>11/22/13 Page 24 of 25 POS-0</u>
📙 Steven W. Bla	Y WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address, ke, Esq., SBN 235502; Kyle E. Yaege, Esq	): ., SBN 246918	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Galuppo & Bla	ake, A Professional Law Corporation Road, Suite 102		
Carlsbad, CA	92009		
		760-431-4579	
	tional): kyaege@galuppolaw.com  Name): Morris Cerullo World Evangelism	Inc	
	RT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Dieg		
STREET ADDRE	ss: 330 W. Broadway	30	
MAILING ADDRES	· · · = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CITY AND ZIP COD	0 15:		
		11	
PLAINTIFF/PE	TITIONER: Morris Cerullo World Evan	ngelism, Inc.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RES	PONDENT: World Religious Relief, In	c., et al.	37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL
	PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMN	IONS	Ref. No. or File No.:
	(Separate proof of service	e is required for each pa	arty served.)
	service I was at least 18 years of age and n	ot a party to this action.	
2. I served copie	es of:		
a. [✓] sı	ummons		
	omplaint		
c. 🗸 Al	Iternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) package	e	
d. C	ivil Case Cover Sheet (served in complex ca	ases only)	
<del></del>	ross-complaint		
f. 🗸 ot	her (specify documents): Notice of Case	Assignment and C	ase Management Conference
3. a. Party serve	ed (specify name of party as shown on docu	ments served):	
	eligious Relief, Inc.		
b. ✓ Pers und	son (other than the party in item 3a) served on item 5b on whom substituted service was	on behalf of an entity or made) (specify name a	as an authorized agent (and not a person nd relationship to the party named in item 3a):
Ral	ph G. Lameti (agent for service of p	rocess)	
	re the party was served:		
	Fen Mile, Southfield, MI 48075		
	arty (check proper box)	ao dogumento lieto d in it	24-44-
a. L by	personal service. I personally delivered the ceive service of process for the party (1) on	date):	em 2 to the party or person authorized to (2) at (time):
	substituted service. On (date):	at (time):	I left the documents listed in item 2 with or
	the presence of (name and title or relations)		n item 3):
(1)	(business) a person at least 18 year of the person to be served. I inform	ars of age apparently in ed him or her of the gen	charge at the office or usual place of business neral nature of the papers.
(2)	(home) a competent member of the place of abode of the party. I inform	e household (at least 18 ned him or her of the gel	years of age) at the dwelling house or usual neral nature of the papers.
(3)	(physical address unknown) a per address of the person to be served him or her of the general nature of	l, other than a United Sta	of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing ates Postal Service post office box. I informed
(4)			of the documents to the person to be served
	at the place where the copies were	left (Code Civ. Proc., §	415.20). I mailed the documents on
/m\	(date): from (city):		or a declaration of mailing is attached.
(5)	l attach a declaration of diligence	stating actions taken fi	rst to attempt personal service.

	٠	C	ase 3:13-cv-02780-BTM-RLM. Document 1	L-1 Filed 11/22		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Morris Cerullo World Evangelism, Inc.			FIPETITIONER: Morris Cerullo World Evangelism,	CASE NUMBER:		
DI	EFEN	NDANT/F	RESPONDENT: World Religious Relief, Inc., et al.		37-2013-00072031-CU-BC-CTL	
5.	C.	<b>V</b>	by mail and acknowledgment of receipt of service. In address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepart (1) on (date): October 25, 2013  (3) with two copies of the Notice and Acknowledge to me. (Attach completed Notice and Acknowledge to an address outside California with return receipt of service. In address to service. In address to service.	oaid, (2) from (city): Ca ment of Receipt and edgement of Receipt	rIsbad, CA a postage-paid return envelope addressed t.) (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.30.)	
	d.		by other means (specify means of service and authorizing			
6.	The a. b. c.	e "Notic	Additional page describing service is attached.  e to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as an individual defendant.  as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):			
	d.		as occupant.  On behalf of (specify): World Religious Relief, Incumber the following Code of Civil Procedure section:  416.10 (corporation)  416.20 (defunct corporation)  416.30 (joint stock company/association)  416.40 (association or partnership)  416.50 (public entity)		zed person)	
7.	a. b. c. d.	Name: Addres Teleph	no served papers Kari D. Ceja ss: 2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102, Carlsbad, CA one number: 760-431-4575 e for service was: \$ 7.63  not a registered California process server. exempt from registration under Business and Profess a registered California process server:  (i) owner employee independe  (ii) Registration No.:  (iii) County:		2350(b).	
8.	<b>_</b>	] Ide	clare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State	of California that the	foregoing is true and correct.	
9.		_	n a California sheriff or marshal and I certify that the fore	egoing is true and co	prect.	
Dat	e: (		r 25, 2013	d	0 /	
Kari D. Ceja						
	(	NAME OF	PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS/SHERIFF OR MARSHAL)		(SIGNATURE )	